German laser bathymetry project: results and experiences

Joachim Niemeyer

Institute of Photogrammetry and GeoInformation (IPI), Leibniz Universität Hannover





Outline

- Project
- Results
 - Coverage Sea Bed
 - Point Densities
 - Accuracy
 - Obstacles
 - Full Waveform Analysis
- Conclusions & Outlook



Outline

- Project
- Results
 - Coverage Sea Bed
 - Point Densities
 - Accuracy
 - Obstacles
 - Full Waveform Analysis
- Conclusions & Outlook



Project

- Basic concepts
 - Conduct three independent annual flight campaigns
 - We want to determine limitations of technique
- BSH and IPI both validate geometric accuracy
- IPI will investigate full waveform data
- Special interests
 - Detection of small obstacles
 - Shallow areas and transition zone to shore







Test Site: Poel





Test Site: Poel







Test Site: Artificial Reefs



Hannover

Institut für Photogrammetrie und GeoInformation

Test Site: Artificial Reefs



Institut für Photogrammetrie und GeoInformation

	2012
Acquisition	31 Oct.– 11 Nov.
Altitude [m]	300, 500, 700
Sensor	Riegl VQ-820G
Depth	1x Secchi
Measurement rate	149 kHz
Sensor	
Depth	
Measurement rate	





	2012	2013
Acquisition	31 Oct 11 Nov.	28 -29 Sept.
Altitude [m]	300, 500, 700	400
Sensor	Riegl VQ-820G	AHAB Chiroptera
Depth	1x Secchi	1x Secchi
Measurement rate	149 kHz	18 kHz
Sensor		AHAB HawkEye II
Depth		3x Secchi
Measurement rate		4 kHz
	riegl.com	airbornehydro.com



Institut für Photogrammetrie und GeoInformation

* Additional flight with HawkEye III in autumn 2014

Leibniz

Universität

Hannover

11

100

	2012	2013	2014
Acquisition	31 Oct.– 11 Nov.	28 - 29 Sept.	5-13 May*
Altitude [m]	300, 500, 700	400	400
Sensor	Riegl VQ-820G	AHAB Chiroptera	AHAB Chiroptera
Depth	1x Secchi	1x Secchi	1x Secchi
Measurement rate	149 kHz	18 kHz	18 kHz
Sensor		AHAB HawkEye II	
Depth		3x Secchi	
Measurement rate		4 kHz	
	ried.com	airbornehydro.com	airbornehydro.com



* Additional flight with HawkEye III in autumn 2014

	2012	2013	2014
Acquisition			5-13 May*
Altitude [m]		AHAB Airborne Hydrography AB	400
Sensor	Riegl VQ-820G	AHAB Chiroptera	AHAB Chiroptera
Depth	1x Secchi	1x Secchi	1x Secchi
Measurement rate	149 kHz	18 kHz	18 kHz
Sensor		AHAB HawkEye II	
Depth		3x Secchi	
Measurement rate		4 kHz	
		airbornebydro.com	
	riegl.com	andomenyuro.com	airbornehydro.com





- 1st flight RIEGL
 - Secchi depth ~ 6m
 - Achieved depths ~4.5m
- 2nd flight AHAB
 - Secchi depth ~ 6m
 - Achieved depths:
 - Chiroptera ~6.5m & HawkEye II ~14m
 - Delivery of processed data: End of April 2014
 - depths still up to 70 cm to high



Outline

- Project
- Results
 - Coverage Sea Bed
 - Point Densities
 - Accuracy
 - Obstacles
 - Full Waveform Analysis
- Conclusions & Outlook



Point distribution

	RIEG	R
--	------	---

Amount of points	181.876.581	
Area [km ²]	220	
Noise	0,31 %	568.358
Water surface	22,08 %	40.159.065
Sea bed	39,29 %	71.460.309
Vegetation underwater	0,03 %	45.566
Land	38,30 %	69.643.282







Amount of sea bed points





normalized by area of each depth level





Covered area



- Actually covered area compared to approximated area (from echo sounding) in each depth level
- Rough approximation!







Covered area - Flight 1



• Riegl: 70,607,093 points











• Chiroptera: 140,910,079 points







Covered area - Flight 2



- HawkEye II: 6,880,026 points
- Mean: ~ 9.8 m (offset ~70 cm)











• Chiroptera & HawkEye II: 147,790,105 points







Covered area - Flight 1



• Riegl: 70,607,093 points







Covered area - Flight 2



Chiroptera & HawkEye II: 147,790,105 points









Remarks (1)



 No flight permission for lowest altitude (300 m) of Area II in highlighted part due to migrating birds



• Flight altitude proposed by company seems to offer a good cost-benefit ratio





Remarks (2)



- Data gaps in the north of Poel
 - Depth 1-2m; sea bed points detected only rarely
 - Riegl VQ-820G and HawkEye II







Remarks (2)



- Data gaps in the north of Poel
 - Depth 1-2m; sea bed points detected only rarely
 - Riegl VQ-820G and HawkEye II
 - Reason: underwater vegetation







Outline

- Project
- Results
 - Coverage Sea Bed
 - Point Densities
 - Accuracy
 - Obstacles
 - Full Waveform Analysis
- Conclusions & Outlook









Point Density









Point Density









Outline

- Project
- Results
 - Coverage Sea Bed
 - Point Densities
 - Accuracy
 - Obstacles
 - Full Waveform Analysis
- Conclusions & Outlook







- Grid of laser data (2m)
- Difference: reference laser grid















Outline

• Project

Results

- Coverage Sea Bed
- Point Densities
- Accuracy
- Obstacles
- Full Waveform Analysis
- Conclusions & Outlook



Detection of Obstacles



No obstacles detected (no points close to objects from database)



- Some objects identified
- Some object points are wrongly classified
- Development and improvement of (automatic) classification algorithms necessary!





Outline

• Project

Results

- Coverage Sea Bed
- Point Densities
- Accuracy
- Obstacles
- Full Waveform Analysis

Conclusions & Outlook



• Standard







• Obstacle







• Very shallow water





- No separation of echoes possible
- One peak from water surface and sea bed





• In most cases of water surface and sea bed points only one return



	Water surface	Sea bed
points	40,123,429	71,449,969
single returns	96.7 %	95.2 %







- Waveforms are accessible by AHAB Software LiDAR Survey Studio
- Seems to be promising
- Future work: Detection of small objects possible?







Outline

- Project
- Results
 - Coverage Sea Bed
 - Point Densities
 - Accuracy
 - Obstacles
 - Full Waveform Analysis
- Conclusions & Outlook



Conclusions

- Laser bathymetry interesting for shallow regions
- Combination of shallow and deep water sensors yields good results.
- Sensors are also able to acquire topographic data
 → cooperation with other authorities possible





Outlook

- Evaluation of 2nd and 3rd flight
- Analysis of full waveform data
- Economic efficiency of laser bathymetry compared to echo sounding



