## BSHC MWG workshop 14 Nov 2018 Consensuses following a review of the HSPT Chapter Drafts for the future 6<sup>th</sup> Edition of S-44

- 1) The Matrix plus the Table 1 is a good option. The MATRIX may well be a loose "item" to be added rows liberally. The respective table 1 shall be tied to safety of surface navigation and followed strictly in HO survey work.
- 2) A stricter Order is required in Table 1 [not common consensus]
- 3) HSPT3 should review the values in Table 1 (connected to point 11 below) [only line spacing to coverage, switching from 40m to 50m]
- 4) It should be well emphasized that the Table and Matrix are no substitute for the text
- 5) There should be no direct link between S44 and CATZOC
- 6) Use the VIM definitions as closely as possible (There is a mix between hydrographic terms and VIM terms)
- 7) Grids should be described in the S44, if not in the main part of the standard, then at a minimum as an annex. It needs to be clearly addressed how the quality of a grid should be assessed/evaluated. [reduced strongly, a gridded byproduct / result of the survey? Grid is a tool for sounding selection and forming a surface]
- 8) S44 should be technology agnostic [no more SBES line spacing and LiDAR point spacing -> point %-coverage]
- 9) Seadatanet.org could be a viable metadata standard to follow [basic metadata <u>demand</u>, not as extensive as earlier work versions]
- 10) BSHC wants to maintain pressure that C-13 be reviewed and updated after the work on S44 [a proposal for HSSC of a permanent WG, maintaining S-44 ed 6]
- 11) BSHC does not wish to see a looser Order in Table 1. However, the value for THU in Order 2 for surveys beyond 200m depth being almost impossible to achieve, it was suggested to evaluate if the depth dependent term could be modified to a function with an exponential increase. That also can be used for Crowd Source Bathymetry and transit lines.

- 12) BHSC recommends a modification to the *Recommended Line Spacing* criteria [3 / 5 times water depth, remove due to interpretation and recommendation status, %-coverage of the whole area, not just one location spreading of the reduced depths? Point spacing minimum distance between reduced depths. Intelligent solution proposals required]
- 13) From Edition 5: "...detect a sufficient high proportion of any features." This sentence is too vague and should definitely be replaced. [plenty of discussion]
- 14) The content of the Chapter 6 (5<sup>th</sup> Edition) should be moved to the annexes (possibly as Annex D) with the mention that it should be moved to C-13 [taken out of ed 6 draft -> list of items to C-13]
- Section 6.4 (floating objects) of the draft version of the 6<sup>th</sup> edition is very difficult to deal with. It was suggested that each MS discuss Section 6.4 internally and develop a consensus that could be forwarded to BSHC. [plenty of discussion]
- 16) Section 6.3 of the draft version of the 6<sup>th</sup> edition belongs in chapter 6 but references to it could be made in chapter 2 "Horizontal and Vertical Positioning". [has been moved to ch 4.2 and 5.3, remain 4.2 + text from 5.3. DK: ITRS where available in sufficient accuracy]