# Tides, Water Level and Currents Working Group VTC, 5-7 April 2022 Draft Agenda – (TWCWG6)

(Paragraph numbering is the same as the Agenda Item numbering and does not necessarily reflect the order in which matters were discussed. ISO three letter country codes have been used to identify individual participants)

#### 1. Opening

- .1 Opening address Chair
- The chair opened the meeting and welcomed everyone
- He noted that it was yet again a VTC meeting but drew attention to the significant effort that the SANHO had put into the hosting of this meeting, and it was with regret that we had to revert to a VTC.
- He noted that the date and venue of the next TWCWG7 is to be decided and MS will have the opportunity to volunteer during agenda item 10.
- He introduced himself as the new Chair and thanked the previous Chair for their work. He also introduced Ruth Farre and Sam Harper and as Vice Chair and IH AD respectively
  - .2 Address by 'host' nation Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO) & SA National Hydrographer SAN
- Capt. Theo Stokes, SA National Hydrographer, provided a welcome on behalf of the planned hosts of the meeting.
- He reflected that the enduring COVID situation continued to cause disruption both in South Africa and indeed globally.
- He covered the plans for the regeneration of SA's Hydrographic Capability.
- He noted the importance of moving with the trends of data centricity, and the drive for provision of water level and surface current information within the 'family of S-100 layers' within S-100 ENC's.
- Capt. Stokes thanked all attendees for taking the time to attend online, given the wide variety of time zones in delegates home countries.

#### .3 IHO comments – IHO

- IHO Secretariat, Assistant Director (Mr Sam Harper) introduced himself noting he has taken over from David Wyatt in September 2021. As such this is the first meeting of the group he had attended.
- He congratulated the group on the completion of the first edition of S-104
- He also noted that an update to the relevant resolutions was a forthcoming piece of work.
- He noted that the report to HSSC needs to be completed quickly after this meeting
- Of particular interest is the relationship between this group and the other groups e.g. HSWG

## 2. Administrative Arrangements

- As a VTC meeting, administrative arrangements are not applicable, other than to mention the Agenda Items might be discussed 'out of sequencing order', and that timings were approximate and subject to be changed. Breaks would be taken as required.
- .1 Adoption of the Agenda and Apologies Chair/IHO

<b>Discussion</b> Decision	Actions
----------------------------	---------

- The Chair offered the agenda for adoption	- Agenda adopted	
- He noted the full agenda and the limited time that we had. He asked everyone to be mindful of the time limits for presentations and ensuing discussions, so that the timing of the agenda could be met as much as possible.	- Agenda items may not necessarily be discussed / covered in the order of sequence listed in the final agenda.	

# .2 Programme and timetable of the Sessions – Chair/IHO

The draft timetable was introduced, it was explained that this was intended for guidance only and was not intended to be a rigid structure. Where necessary time spent on individual topics would be amended to allow an appropriate discussion; see list of documents at Annex C.

.3 Meeting administration – Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO)

See above comments under 2. Administrative Arrangements.

#### .4 Report on Intercessional Activities including HSSC13 – Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The Chair went through the report to HSSC13		
- He noted that the report is on the TWCWG website and		
HSSC13 website		
- He went through the actions that relate to TWCWG.		
- He recapped the process of the revision of S-104 and noted	d	
this as a key success.		
- He ran through the interaction with the other IHO WGs.		
- DQWG – Discussions relating to time uncertainty and		
vertical accuracy/uncertainty. He noted that separate agence	da	
items will cover these items as the DQWG has specific		
request of TWCWG.		
- CSBWG – he noted that this group has some specific		
questions but again these would be covered later in		
proceedings.		
- HSWG – he briefly touched on the request of TWCWG to	)	
assist with the revision of S-44 and C-15. He noted that a		
presentation would be provided on this topic under Agend	la	
Item 8		
- S100WG – He noted that there had been interaction with		
this group and this would be further discussed in Agenda		
item 4		
- NIPWG – Requested comments from TWCWG on IMO		
MSC. To be covered under 8.3	,	
- He noted that the Foreword sections of both PS S-104 & S		
111 would include a tribute to Kurt Hess who pioneered th	ne	
first and subsequent drafts of S-111 and later S-104. The		

TWCWG thought it a fitting tribute to recognise his efforts	
in this way.	



# .5 Matters arising from TWCWG5/Review of Action Items – Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
Actions from TWCWG5		
Action 1.	Ongoing	Ongoing
Chair/All: initiate investigation for storing data and	Chair clarified that 'data' here	Oligonia
making it available for use by all TWCWG	refers to water level and currents	
members.	data sets for analysis by all	
members.	TWCWG members who wish to	
	analyse the data under the 'analysis	
	of common data sets' ongoing task.	
Action 2.	To be discussed in 3.3	
	10 be discussed in 5.5	
Chair/All: develop a plan for further analysis of tidal harmonics.		
Action 3.	Commission	
	Complete	
Zarina Jayaswal (ZJ) (AUS): provide some visual		
diagrams to explain concept of datum separation.	G 1	
Action 4.	Complete	
Chair/Vice-Chair: TWCWG to provide a list of		
vertical datums to be used (must be chart datum) by		
July 2021 with the list finalised and submitted to S-		
100 WG by October 2021 (e.g hydrographic zero		
(ZH (cf; 2017-2020 information TWCWG),		
Geoids, Ellipsoid.		
Action 5.	Ongoing	
Zarina Jayaswal (ZJ) (AUS): provided use case to		
S-100WG/IIC. Four other use cases from TWCWG		
members have been provided (2020) to TWCWG		
and shared using the cloud dedicated to		
documentation exchange		
Action 6.	Ongoing	
Chair/Vice-Chair: initiate discussion on water level		
trend threshold.		
Action 7.	Ongoing	
All: send the TWCWG/Chair your proposal for a		
method of calculating water level trend-threshold.		
Action 8.	Ongoing	
All: provide additional S-104 & S-111 use cases for		
discussion by TWCWG		
Action 9.	Ongoing	
Chair/Vice-Chair: initiate discussion on how to	- 6- 6	
determine the separation vertical uncertainty ratio		
to allow use of CSB data.		
Action 10.	To be discussed in item 8.8	
All: provide feedback to Chair on data quality	2000 diseassed in nom 0.0	
issues documentation for submission to DQWG		
Chair for July 2021		
Action 11.	Complete	
Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO): make the proposed	Complete	
amendments to A6.1 and circulate to the TWCWG		
for final approval.		
Action 12.	Complete	
	Complete	
Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO): provide final versions		
for submission to HSSC.	m 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Action 13.	To be discussed under item 6.2	
Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO): lead the review and		
revision process using the relevant Chart		
Specifications provided in the meeting documents.		
Action 14.	Complete	

Secretary: provide relevant specifications for review to Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO).		
Action 15. Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO): to provide relevant presentations and documents for translation into Chinese [Mandarin] by MSA.	Partially Complete	RF, SANHO, has received the translation in a PDF version of the PowerPoint presentation. Awaiting the PowerPoint version.
Action 16. LCDR Cesar Borba (CB, Brasil): Portuguese translation of supplementary documentation to be completed and 1 slide still to be translated – all to be sent to Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO).	To be discussed under item 7	
Action 17. Chair/Secretary: include updated WP in final meeting report and submit to HSSC13.	Complete	
Action 18. Chair/Secretary/RF: identify dates for TWCWG6 and inform TWCWG.	Ongoing	
Action 19. Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO): circulate initial letter of invitation to TWCWG6 no later than 6 months before meeting	Complete	
Action 20. All: all those who have actions to complete should keep the Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary informed of progress.	Ongoing	

# 3. Programme Matters

.1 Standard Constituent List – GBR/Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The Chair introduced	All Member States to suggest	
the reference document	additions / continuous	
and highlighted where	improvements to the Standard	
it could be accessed.	Constituent list as appropriate.	
- He noted that there		
have not been any		
updates in the period.		

.2 The study of long-term data sets for the determination of global sea level rise and changes in tidal range. - NOR/USA

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The chair introduced the background to the agenda item.		
- He invited Peter Stone (PS) to lead the discussion		
PS noted this is an active area of discussion and has potential		
overlap with the Global Sea Level Observing System		
(GLOSS).		
- LCDR Cesar Borba (CB, Brasil) presented on MSL		
Variation Guanabara Bay – Brazil 2000- 2020 (get ppt).		

-	He noted that over the observing period, they had seen an increase in MSL 0.74cm per year.		
-	This was confirmed by observations at a second station which showed an increase of 0.77cm per year over the		
	same period.		
-	He reported that next steps were compare the results with other nearby stations.		
-	Paola Picco (PP) and Luca Repetti (LR) presented on the		
	long-term observations undertaken by Italy.  He gave an overview of where the permanent gauges in		
	Italy.		
-	He showed the observations of MSL between 1884-2021.		
-	He noted the general rise in MSL.		
-	In contrast, he showed that in Genoa and Brindisi, the rise was basically 0 between 2007 and 2021.		
_	He covered a number of other interesting phenomena.		
_	He concluded that whilst monitoring long-term sea-level		
	rise, we have to take into account other short-term		
	phenomena that can counteract the apparent rising trend,		
	appearing to be a lowering of sea level.		
-	Phillip MacAulay (PM) asked whether observed low		
	frequency observed behaviours were consistent across the med.		
_	Thomas Hammarklint (TH) presented on Future Sea		
	levels in Sweden.		
-	He noted the IPCC report "The Ocean and Cryosphere in		
	a changing Climate".		
-	The Chair noted that the Gov of Sweden was using the		
	worst-case scenario for sea level rise in their costal	)	
_	management decision making.		
_	PS presented on the 2022 Sea Level Rise Technical		
	Report.		
-	It was an update to the 2017 report, refining the sea level		
	rise scenarios. It gives greater confidence in MSL rise		
	over the next 30 years and makes the case for the		
	continued. monitoring of the causes of MSL rise.  The Chair summarised the session and noted the action.		What is the
	The Chair summarised the session and noted the action.		effect of the
			long-term
			changes in
			MSL rise on
			national
			vertical
			datums?

.3 Compare Tidal Predictions generated as a result of analysis of a common data set by different analysis software (including Application for an International Association for the Physical Sciences of the Oceans (IAPSO) Best Practice Study group on Tidal Analysis)—USA/NOR/GBR [UK National Oceanography Centre, NOC]

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>Hilde Sande Borck recapped the</li> </ul>		IHO to investigate how to
history of the agenda item.		publish results of analysis on
		the IHO website.

		T	T ~ .
-	Original objective was to assess the		Continue to encourage
	performance of different software in		Member States to take the
	use and what are the impacts.		common data sets and analyse
-	This objective changed slightly after		them using their national
	2019 to consider "How do countries		approaches (software,
	do their analysis. Do we get similar		methodologies etc).
	results etc".		
-	She went thought the status of the		
	analysis of the common datasets.		
-	She highlighted some of the activities		
	and challenges.		
-	She noted that some countries have		
	done a lot of analysis but there have		
	been challenges with sharing the		
	results on the IHO website.		
-	She noted that the different software in		
	use gave similar results, but it is		
	difficult to compare the results given		
	there is a large variation in the set of		
	resolvable and significant constituents.		
-	Andy Matthews (AM, NOC) gave a		
	presentation on IAPSO Tidal Analysis		Chair to Liaise with AM as to
	Best Practice Study Group		how TWCWG can contribute
_	He gave an overview of the work and		to this work.
	clarified the goals of group being:		
-	- what is the current state of tidal		
	analysis and where are the dangers?		
-	Study of current software packages.		
-	Making analysis repeatable.		
-	The future work looking at what needs		
	more study and who provides funds		
-	He noted the next meeting would be in		
	Berlin, July 2023 alongside the IUGG		
	General Assembly.		
-/	Long term aim to develop a formal		
	best practice document.		
-	He offered to the TWCWG the		
	opportunity to get involved should		
	they wish.		
-	Glen Rowe (GR) suggested that we		
	should re-enforce the connection and		
	collaboration between IOC and IHO		
	activities such as this.		

.4 Establishment and Maintenance of VRF for High Resolution Bathymetric Surfaces – GBR/NLD

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The chair gave a high-level overview		
of the agenda item. He noted that there		
was nothing specific to report.		
- He noted the potential overlap with the		
question from the CSBWG and this		
topic could be explored during that		
agenda item.		

### .5 Determining ellipsoidal height of MSL at the coast – NLD

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The chair reported that there was no		
specific update on this agenda item.		
- He briefly gave an overview of the		
background and the relevance of this		
enduring agenda item and how it fitted		
into other work items.		
- Seppo Makinen (SM) asked how		
detailed and dense a geoid model is		
needed for determining MSL.		
<ul> <li>Italy noted that a limiting factor was</li> </ul>		
that you need MSL along with the		
gravimetry data to determine the geoid		
surface.		

### .6 Inventory of Tide gauges used by IHO Member States – IHO/Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The Chair described the resource that		All to review and pass on
is available and where it is linked.		updates to IHO Sec for the doc
- He invited MS to review and pass any		to be updated.
updates to IHO for updating the list.		

.7 Actual Tides On-line Link status – IHO/Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The Chair provided an orientation of		All to review and pass on
the record and location of the resource.		updates to IHO Sec for the doc
He explained the mechanism for		to be updated.
keeping it updated.		-

.8 List of vertical datums in use to describe Chart Datum -IHO/Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The Chair provided the background to		All to review and pass on
the list of vertical datums in use by		updates to IHO Sec for the doc
country.		to be updated.
- The list has been created and the Chair		•
asked for MS to add their details.		

### 4. Product Specification Updates & Presentations

.1 Water Level Information for Surface Navigation (S-104) - work done to produce Ed 1.0.0, and towards compliance with S-100 Ed 5.0.0– AUS/USA

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- Greg Seroka (GS) gave a presentation		S-104 developments to be
S-104 PS Update.		worked on intersessionally, co-
- He noted it was the work of the S-104		ordinated by the S-104 PT.
Project Team (PT).		
<ul> <li>He went through the changes needed</li> </ul>		
to get to S-104 Ed 1.0.0, sample S-104		
files and what work would be needed		
to get to S-104 Ed. 2.0.0.		

-	He concluded by reflecting on the next		
	steps required to move toward S-104		
	compliance with S-100 Ed 5.0.0.		
_	He also offered some slides on the		
	next steps for S-111 to move towards		
	compliance with S-100 Ed 5.0.0.		
	compliance with 5 100 Eu 3.0.0.		
_	Ronald Kuilman (RK) noted that		
	TWCWG5 removed real-time data		
	from S-104 PS, awaiting S-100 real		
	time data, and asked whether this is		
	also the case for S-111.		
-	GS replied that it was indeed removed from S-111.		
	1rom S-111.		
	Dealer I Malaratar (DM)	A 1 (1 4	DT- 4- marida (C
-	Raphael Malyankar (RM) provided a	Agreed that	PTs to provide specific actions
	presentation on relevant changes to S-	next drafts of	for next steps to TWCWG to
	100 Ed 5.0.0.	S-104 and S-	facilitate next revisions of S-
-	He noted that there may be further	111 are aligned	104 and S-111.
	revisions to Ed 5.0.0 before it is	with S-100 Ed	
	published.	5.0.0 but not as	
-	He noted that other PSs need to be	Edition 2.	
	considered such as S-98.		
-	RK asked how you deal with 2		
	adjacent areas with different		
	resolutions? Is this also controlled by		
	S98 (all interoperability levels)?		
-	RM replied that S-98 does not cover		
	this.		
-	GS asked whether Lua portrayal is		
	required, or can S-111 still use XSLT		
	for portrayal?		
_	RM answered that this has not yet		
	been decided and would have to be		
	considered at a later date.		
_	In summary he recommended that the		
	next drafts of S-104 and S-111 are		
	aligned with S-100 ed 5.0.0 but not as		
	Edition 2 as this would require		
	readiness level 2		
	reactifiess tevel 2		

# .2 Surface Current Product Specification (S-111) – towards compliance with S-100 Ed 5.0.0 – USA

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- Raphael Malyankar (RM) provided a	Agreed that	PTs to provide specific
presentation on relevant changes to S-	next drafts of	requirements to TWCWG to
100 Ed 5.0.0.	S-104 and S-	facilitate next revisions of S-
- He noted that there may be further	111 are aligned	104 and S-111.
revisions to Ed 5.0.0 before it is	with S-100 Ed	
published.	5.0.0 but not as	
- He noted that other PSs need to be	Edition 2	
considered such as S-98.		
- RK asked how you deal with 2		
adjacent areas with different		
resolutions? Is this also controlled by		
S98 (all interoperability levels)?		

<ul> <li>RM replied that S-98 does not cover this.</li> <li>GS asked whether Lua portrayal is required, or can S-111 still use XSLT for portrayal?</li> <li>RM answered that this has not yet been decided and would have to be</li> </ul>	
he recommended that the next drafts of S-104 and S-111 are aligned with S-	
100 Ed 5.0.0 but not as Edition 2 as this would require readiness level 2	

.3 Engagement with S-100WG and other relevant subordinate bodies – USA

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
Largely covered by previous agenda item.		

.4 Overview of datums/reference systems with reference to S-104 & S-111 – USA

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>Dr Neil Weston (NW) gave a most helpful presentation on datums and reference systems as related to S-104 and S-111.</li> <li>He covered how NOAA use their Vdatum tool to transfer between different vertical datums.</li> <li>He finished with an overview of the various registries of reference datums.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Michael Davies (UKHO) asked whether we should be clearer in S-111 and S-104 as to which Epoch is used within the different realisations of the common reference datums to account for, by way of an example, changing coordinate due to plate movements.</li> <li>NW noted that this is an issue that needs further consideration as the implications are not fully understood.</li> <li>GS noted that epoch is captured in S-104 in various metadata fields.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Zarina Jayaswal (ZJ) gave a presentation on S-104 Data Separation models.</li> </ul>		

# .5 S-104 & S-111 Member State developments, Use cases, etc – All

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>Zarina Jayaswal (ZJ) gave a presentation on S-104 Data Separation models.</li> </ul>		
- Svein Skjaeveland (SS) gave a presentation on the S-100		

Demonstrator Project and S-129 Operations test from the Electronic Chart Centre in Norway.  - He described the scope of the project	
- Gwen Jan gave a presentation on SHOMs work to build an S-104 test product.	
<ul> <li>She noted the support that NOAA had provided in this work.</li> </ul>	
- SANHO asked whether the model that Gwen used could be overlaid on an ENC.	
- GJ thought that it could, but urged caution with regard to complications of portrayal and complicating the display.	
- Michael Davies (UKHO) gave a short update on the UKHO trial of S-100 data with the Mayflower autonomous ship which is an AI powered marine research vessel.	
- He noted some of the initial challenges experience with file sizes.	
- He gave an overview of next steps for the trial with both the Trans-Atlantic crossing and nearshore test with the GB Royal Navy.	
- Kwang nam HAN (KH) gave a presentation on KHOAs work on S-104 and S-111 datasets and provided them to test vessels.	Prepare a list/survey of how MS water level data (S-104) and surface current data (S-111) are created.
<ul> <li>She explained what each dataset comprised</li> <li>Next steps included the PTs considering how do they prioritise in what order data should be made</li> </ul>	(KHOA)
<ul> <li>available.</li> <li>GS noted that there is a solution to this in S-104, however this hasn't been reflected in S-111.</li> <li>ZJ suggested that guidance be provided as to order of data portrayal,</li> </ul>	
provided as to order of data portrayal, but it is then left to ECDIS users.	

# .6 Questions and Discussion on Implementing S-104 into 'products' – ZAF

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO)raised the question of		Organise a dedicated workshop
how S-104 would be implemented once it was		to tackle this topic, involving
finished?		OEMS, RENCS etc.

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The chair led a conversation regarding		
next steps for S-104 and S-111.		

- The leads of the PTs went through the	Prepare a list/survey of how
identified actions and agreed them in	MS water level data (S-104)
principle.	and surface current data (S-
- RM noted that he thought a User case	111) are created.
annex for S-111 would be useful and	
should be added.	Prepare a User-case annex for
- They highlighted the elements in Red	S-111
that were likely to be larger pieces of	RM
work that would need significant	
consideration.	

# 5. IHO Resolutions and Charting Specifications

.1 Review of relevant IHO Resolutions – ZAF

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO)provided an		
update on work undertaken so far.		
- She noted that following a review by		
the group there is a final version that		
has been submitted to HSSC.		
- Following decision by HSSC, changes		
to resolutions can be implemented that		
will then drive the follow-on work to		
the relevant IHO Charting		
Specifications.		

.2 Review of relevant IHO Charting Specifications – ZAF

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- She noted that this is on hold until		RF to initiate this work
HSSC have taken a decision on the		following decision by HSSC
status of the proposed changes to the		on technical resolutions.
technical resolutions.		

# 6. IOC Programmes

.1 Update on IOC Global Sea Level Observing System (GLOSS) Programme items and events – USA

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The chair introduced Gary Mitchum		
(GM) of GLOSS.		
- GM gave an update on GLOSS		
activities.		
<ul> <li>He reported that they focused on</li> </ul>		
keeping the data flowing during		
COVID.		
- He reported that they will adjust their		
operational structure, namely an		
executive advisory group to the Chair.		

<ul> <li>He reported that Thorkild Aarup has retired and has been replaced by Bernado Aliaga.</li> <li>He reported they are planning their next in person meeting in Paris in September. Main topic for discussion will be the update to their implementation plan.</li> <li>He finished by reemphasising that the key message is that the network has</li> </ul>	
remained stable and operational.	

.2 Update on IOC Tsunamis & Other Hazards Related to Sea-Level Warning & Mitigation Systems (TOWS) Programme items and events – CHL

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>J Castro (JC) presented on the Tsunami Warning and Mitigation WG         <ul> <li>SE Pacific Region focusing on emergency response and data analysis.</li> </ul> </li> <li>JC started by describing the early warning network</li> <li>He noted that following the Tonga eruption they noticed a number of anomalous readings.</li> </ul>		

# 7. Capacity Building

 $. 1 \qquad \hbox{Tides and Water Levels Workshop training material} - ZAF/AUS$ 

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>Ruth Farre (RF, SANHO)recapped progress.</li> <li>She noted that she now had a number of translated material and thanked everyone for their contributions.</li> <li>She noted that the links to the TWCWG CB material that was on the old IHO website are no longer there, however in consultation with the IHO, this will be remedied.</li> <li>She noted that the more advanced course material still requires development as it had been delayed due to COVID.</li> <li>She had received an offer from China to translate CB material but this had not gone any further.</li> <li>Xiaoxue from China reported that an initial translation had been completed, but that they wished to do a further review to improve the accuracy.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>IHO to restore links to CB material.</li> <li>China invited to submit the translated version once ready.</li> </ul>

- PS noted he had previously submitted	- PS to liaise with RF
a proposal for Spanish speaking tidal	with regard to
CB course through the MACHC. He	reinvigorating the
reported the funding is still available	Spanish Speaking
and he would pick this up again	Tides course.
- Bernardo Aliaga (BA) reminded the	
group that IOC are still willing to co-	
fund the training.	
- This was acknowledged by PS	

## 8. Any Other Business

.1 Request by the Hydrographic Surveys WG (HSWG) for TWCWG assistance in revising the relevant sections of S-44 (Standards for Hydrographic Surveys) and C-13 (Manual on Hydrography) –NGA/All

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- Doug Roush (DR, NGA) provided a		
brief on the work of the HSWG and		
how this links to the TWCWG.		
- He noted that both S-44 and C-13 have		
significant tidal content and the desire		
is to assist with the updates.		
- He noted that the HSWG has three		
project teams, two of which are		
focusing on S-44 and C13		
respectively.		
- He noted that the work is in the initial		
stages and it will become clearer soon		
what the ask of TWCWG will be.		

.2 Request by the Data Quality Working Group (DQWG) for TWCWG to investigate 'data quality' elements of water level and surface current data (including how to determine the "separation vertical uncertainty ratio" to allow use of Crowd Sourced Bathymetry (CSB) data – Chair / NLD / All

Discussion	Decisions		Actions
- The Chair provided a bac	ekground to		Chair to liaise with Chair of
the agenda item.			CSBWG to understand the
- He reiterated the request	from the		requirement for TWCWG
CSBWG for guidance or	how HOs		support
can use CSB data. He no	ted that if the		
CD – MSL separation wa	as less than		
half the vertical uncertain	nty at a certain		
depth, the CSB data coul	d be used,		
however this needed to b	e validated by		
the TWCWG.			
<ul> <li>IHO Sec suggested that t</li> </ul>	he Chair		
liaise with the CSBWG of	chair to refine		
the task.			
		_	

.3 Request by NIPWG / HSSC13 Action 37, to review the text of IMO MSC.1-CIRC. 1610 and propose amendments as necessary. Specifically, MSC12 & MSC15 – Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>TWCWG requested to review the sections of the doc that the NIPWG are responsible for.</li> <li>This action has been undertaken and completed.</li> <li>The document was circulated and the chair thanked those who contributed. All comments have been consolidated and passed back to the chair of NIPWG.</li> </ul>		

.4 Historical data recovery/data archaeology – Chair / GBR [UK National Oceanography Centre (NOC)] / All

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>Andy Matthews (AM, NOC) provided a brief on the use of citizen science to digitise historic tide gauge data.</li> <li>He reported that he initiative was very successful.</li> <li>Over 3000 volunteers.</li> <li>Over 16000 scanned pages from two sites in the North-West of England.</li> <li>He noted they were currently quality controlling the data but stated it was incredibly valuable for studying how tides have changed and validating storm surge events.</li> </ul>	Decisions	Actions

.5 Water level measured using GNSS receivers using Interferometric Reflection – Chair / GBR [UK National Oceanography Centre (NOC)] / All

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
<ul> <li>Andy Matthews (AM, NOC) gave a presentation on the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) work to measure sea level using GNSS Interferometric Reflectometry.</li> <li>He briefed on the EuroSea project.</li> <li>He offered a number of links to further resources.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The chair asked the WG whether any members were using this technique</li> <li>Kurtis Readding (KR) noted that the technique could have significant utility where a tide staff / tide gauge isn't viable.</li> <li>Phillip MacAulay (PA) noted they had considered the technique where</li> </ul>		

standard gauging is very difficult but	
had not yet attempted it.	

#### 9. Work Plan and ToRs

.1 TWCWG Work Plan 2022-2023 up-dates – Chair

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
- The chair took the group through the		
work programme with the various		
updates and changes		
- Link to WP		
-		
- Task B2 proposed	Agreed	
	HB to lead	
- Task D1 – Issue edition 1.2.0 by the	Agreed	
end of 2022		
- Task F1 Chair to lead	Agreed	
- Task J1 to add C-13 and S-44	Agreed	
- Task J2 – adjusted to reflect		
permanent status and to include		
Charting specifications		

#### .2 Review TWCWG ToRs and RoPs – IHO

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
ToRs and RoPs reviewed	Accepted	

# 10. Venue and dates of the 7<sup>th</sup> TWCWG Meeting (TWCWG7) – Chair/IHO

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
April 2023 – SA to host.		SA to investigate the logistics
		of hosting in 2023.

#### 11. Review of Action Items from TWCWG6 – IHO

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
To be circulated post meeting.		

12. Development of TWCWG6 report to HSSC14 – Chair

Discus	sion	Decisions	Actions
-	Chair to finalise the report.		
-	He advised members that they will		
	only have a short period of time to		
	review in order to get to HSSC in good		
	time.		

#### 13. **Draft Agenda for TWCWG7** – Chair/IHO

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
To be circulated post meeting		

Will reflect the work plan and emerging	
issues such as:	
IAPSO collaboration	
Progress on S-104 &S-111	

# 14. Election of Chair and Vice Chair – IHO

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
Both positions are happy to proceed	Both Chris	
	Jones and Ruth	
	Farre will	
	continue in	
	their respective	
	roles as Chair	
	& Vice Chair	

**15.** Closing remarks – Chair/All

Discussion	Decisions	Actions
The Chair expressed his thanks to all		
participants for their valuable contributions,		
and was especially grateful for the high level		
of online attendance, given the time zone		
differences of several delegates.		
The ambitious agenda had covered several		
important topics and made significant		
progress.		
Closing remarks from both Ruth Farre (Vice		
Chair) and Sam Harper (IHO) re-iterated these		
sentiments.		

Meeting closed at 16:10 BST Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> April 2022